



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/686,626	10/12/2000	James A. Satchell JR.	TPP31333	6147	
	7590 03/27/2002				
Stevens, Davis, Miller & Mosher			EXAMINER		
1615 L St., N. Washington, D			GARLAND,	AND, STEVEN R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2125		
			DATE MAILED, 02/2/2000		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A

• .	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\int_{\cdot}
	09/686,626	SATCHELL ET AL.	(Y
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	~
	Steven R Garland	2121	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of t will apply and will expire SIX (6) M cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 F	ebruary 2002 .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims	in the condition to		
4) Claim(s) 1-12,31-41 and 60-62 is/are pending	•		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-12,31-41, and 60-62</u> is/are rejected	•		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement		
Application Papers	r election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 11 February 2002 is/are		objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abo	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C	c. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in	Application No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).	
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 09/686,626 Page 2

Art Unit: 2121

DETAILED ACTION

1. The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective (see 37 CFR

1.175 and MPEP § 1414) because of the following:

It does not state that the person making the oath or declaration acknowledges the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person to be material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56.

Note the declaration must refer to 37 CFR 1.56 not just 37 CFR 1.56(a) as currently stated in the declaration.

2. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1), a supplemental reissue oath/declaration under 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1) must be received before this reissue application can be allowed.

Claims 1-12,31-41, and 60-62 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251. See 37 CFR 1.175. The nature of the defect is set forth above.

Receipt of an appropriate supplemental oath/declaration under 37 CFR

1.175(b)(1) will overcome this rejection under 35 U.S.C. 251. An example of acceptable language to be used in the supplemental oath/declaration is as follows:

"Every error in the patent which was corrected in the present reissue application, and is not covered by a prior oath/declaration submitted in this application, arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant."

3. The amendment filed 2/11/02 proposes amendments to the claims that do not comply with 37 CFR 1.173(b), which sets forth the manner of making amendments in reissue applications. A supplemental paper correctly amending the reissue application is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/686,626 Page 3

Art Unit: 2121

4. Note that all subject matter being added to the patent must be underlined and underlining must be used in claims 36-39 and 60-62 as required by 37 CFR 1.173(b). Also note the requirements of 37 CFR 1.173 (c) and (d).

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 3, 39-41, and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 39, line 7, "the storage unit" lacks a proper antecedent basis.

In claim 60 it is unclear as to how the "at least one selected item "relates to the selected items in parent claims 31 and 32. Note that claim 31 requires dispensing the item and claim 32 requires at least one item be stored in the door.

In claim 3, line 4, "said selector means" lacks a proper antecedent basis. It appears "means" should be changed to —device--. It is also suggested that in line 3 that " site" be changed to — sites--.

7. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

8. Claim 60 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. As pointed out above in paragraph

Application/Control Number: 09/686,626

Art Unit: 2121

6. claim 60 is unclear as to how the item relates to the items in parent claims 31 and 32.

The claim, as written, appears to require storing and/or dispensing cable, antennae,

etc. which was not originally disclosed in the application as filed. It is suggested that

language similar to claim 34 be used.

L. P. P

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Steven R Garland whose telephone number is 703-305-

9759. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday from 6:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Leo Picard, can be reached on (703) 308-0538. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-746-7239; for

after final faxes 703-308-7238; and for non official faxes 703-746-7240.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

3900.

Steven R Garland

Page 4

Examiner

Art Unit 2121

LEO PICARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100